

We often take the choice of a family doctor or even medical specialists for granted as we believe they automatically have our best interests at heart. We seldom evaluate their credentials as well as their personality which are both important in our medical care. I have had few times to evaluate doctors for my own needs across my lifetime since I have maintained very good health. But often I had to interface for my wife's health as she dealt with cancer and the collateral damage from chemotherapy across the last 13 years of her life. So, following is a sample of what my experience has witnessed in what I consider attributes of a doctor that should be evaluated:

### **An Open Letter to my Doctor**

I view my Family Doctor or Medical Specialist as an extension of my personal Healthcare and my First Amendment Rights and Fourth Amendments Rights of the US Constitution. I respect my Doctor for the undergraduate and medical education, residency qualification, and experience gained as a licensed practitioner in the State of Practice, as well as the required board certification updated each year. I recognize that I am ultimately responsible for the decisions made in my health requirements. I arrive at these decisions in consultation with my Doctor and use my engineering education, four-year qualification as a practicing engineer, my Professional Engineer Code of Ethics, and 62 years of experience gained as a licensed practitioner in the State of my Practice, as well as board certification updated each year. I expect from my Doctor the following principals to be upheld in our mutual relationship as my Doctor has been chosen by myself and my Doctor has accepted me as their patient:

- In all instances of care, I expect the medical Hippocratic Oath to be observed particularly the intent that says, "to do no harm", but it also includes to the best of the doctors ability and to preserve the patients privacy as well as provides moral and ethical advice.
- I expect the HIPPA Rights and Privacy Standards to be respected as privacy of my Healthcare and it being between only me and my doctor unless I authorize someone else to receive my information.
- I expect my doctor to respect my rights for self-supplementation of vitamins and minerals unless my doctor can show me that my choice will be harmful to my health. I have followed health advisors particularly those associated with Natural Health for maintenance of my immune system for nearly thirty years and am anxious always to receive advice for improvement.
- My rights under the US Constitution, particularly the First and Fourth Amendments should not be violated by my doctor and my doctor should take every advantage to weigh false information against true information, particularly since we currently have political motives from both the Major News Media and the leadership of our Federal, State, and Local Governments. These motives often contradict the Christian-Judeo principles on which our great American Nation was founded and guaranteed by the Constitution and Bill of Rights.
- I welcome diet or nutritional information from my doctor that can maintain or improve my health.
- I ask my doctor to research in particular new vaccines or annual vaccines that may help with my health to be aware of metal contents, formaldehyde and other toxins, and aborted baby parts. I know that the metals and toxins are harmful to my body and am opposed to the abortion of babies in our Nation. The COVID 19 vaccine as an example, is only an experimental vaccine under which conditions I have a right to accept or reject this computer-generated vaccine as adverse consequences abound in the Unrestricted News systems.

- My immune system, DNA, face, and fingerprints are unique to me as one of over seven billion people on the earth today because every human being is made different by God. Yes, we tend to fall in categories as age, race, society, etc, so standardization is attempted but must be evaluated between my doctor and me to determine the best treatment options.

Respectively Submitted,

#### **The Fourth Amendment Rights**

**Covid Shot Home Visits are Unconstitutional and Unethical according to the AAPS, Association of American Physicians and Surgeons and thus in Violation of the Fourth Amendment of the US Constitution:**

*AAPS issued the following statement in response to planned home visits:*

The Biden Administration has announced plans to send agents “door to door” in order to “get remaining Americans vaccinated, by ensuring they have the information they need on how both safe and accessible the vaccine is.”

A leaked script from the Lake County Health Department in Illinois tells the Community Health Ambassadors to keep track of the addresses and responses from residents in a “Door-Knocking Spreadsheet.”

The Association of American Physicians and Surgeons (AAPS) makes the following observations:

- The U.S. Constitution provides no authority for the federal government to be involved in medicine, for example, by recommending, promoting, or mandating treatments.
- If the Ambassador knows a person’s vaccination status, the government has already been collecting personal health data and sharing it with agents having nothing to do with the person’s care, a violation of the Fourth Amendment. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) will not protect you—it allows very broad disclosure to government officials.
- States have the lawful authority to regulate the practice of medicine, but the Ambassadors are evidently not under any constraints regarding training, credentialing, documentation, or scope of practice, although they are collecting data and giving medical advice without supervision. Even medical assistants and medical scribes need to meet certain qualifications.
- Ambassadors are promoting an experimental product, with no information on risks. Even if a product is FDA-approved, advertisers and medical professionals must divulge risks, such as heart inflammation, paralysis from Guillain-Barré or other causes, miscarriage, or death. Contrast the Ambassador’s script with the disclosures on a television ad for a drug, say one to treat your dog’s heartworm.

In the opinion of AAPS, this door-to-door solicitation violates the ethical principles of protecting confidentiality and informed consent. Health professionals need a patient’s implied consent even to be seen; they may not simply show up uninvited at a stranger’s home.

**For both legal and ethical reasons, the program should be discontinued at once, AAPS states”.**